US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

Shaughnessy No.: 035201

Date Out of EAB: AUG 6 1987

TO:

William Miller, PM #16

Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch Registration Division (TS-767C)

FROM:

Emil Regelman, Supervisory Chemist

Review Section #3

Exposure Assessment Branch

Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769C)



Attached, please find the EAB review of:

Reg./File #:	352-456									
Chemical Name:	Dicrotophos									
Type Product:	Insecticide									
Product Name:	Bidrin®									
Company Name:	E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Inc.									
	Request for waiver of terrestrial field									
dissipation data requirement.										
ACTION CODE:	650	EAB #(s):								
Date Received:_	1/30/87	Total Review Time: 2 days								
Date Completed:	8/6/87									
Monitoring study requested:										
Monitoring study voluntarily:										
Deferrals to:	Ecologica	al Effects Branch								
Residue Chemistry Branch										
Toxicology Branch										

## 1. CHEMICAL:

Common Name:

Dicrotophos (BSI, ISO)

Chemical Name:

2-Dimethyl cis-2-dimethyl-carbamoyl-l-

methylvinyl phosphate

Other Names:

Bidrin, Carbicron, Ektafos, SD-3562

Structure:

Physical/chemical properties:

Molecular weight:

Solubility: miscible in water, acetone.

very slightly soluble in

kerosene.

Specific gravity: 1.2 @ 60/60 °F.

- 2. TEST MATERIAL: N/A.
- 3. STUDY/ACTION TYPE: Waiver request of terrestrial field dissipation study requirement (164-1).
- 4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION: N/A.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Padma R Datta, Ph.D.

Chemist

Review Section #3

EAB/HED/OPP

Signature: PRPalla

Date:

8/6/87

6. APPROVED BY:

Emil Regelman Supervisory Chemist Review Section #3

EAB/HED/OPP

Signature:

Date: AUG 6 1987

### 7. CONCLUSIONS:

EAB cannot concur with the waiver request of the terrestrial field dissipation study requirement (164-1) for the following reasons:

a. EAB reviewed a field dissipation study conducted on a foreign soil (England), which does not support the data requirement (164-1) for dicrotophos.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS: (Cont'd)

b. The soil site in England is not representative of domestic (cotton-growing area) soil.

# 8. RECOMMENDATION:

EAB recommends RD advise E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company that two additional terrestrial field dissipation studies (164-1), as described in subdivision N of the Guidelines must be conducted in the cotton belt of the United States. These studies are required to support the continued registration for dicrotophos under 40 CFR §158.130.

#### 9. BACKGROUND:

On January 13, 1987, E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc. requested a waiver of the terrestrial field dissipation (164-1) data requirement for dicrotophos on the basis that the rate of degradation exceeded the criterion of 50% dissipation as specified in the Reregistration Guidance Document. The 50% dissipation is based on data previously submitted by Shell Oil Co. in May, 1984 (Acc# 263098).

This criterion applies only to considerations for waiver of a long-term soil dissipation study (164-5). When an active ingredient does not reach 50% dissipation in soil prior to a recommended subsequent application of the same active ingredient to the same sites utilized for the field dissipation studies (164-1, 164-2, 162-1) described in Subdivision N of the Guidelines, a long-term soil study (164-5) is required. However, if a 50% dissipation occur prior to a recommended subsequent application, the long-term dissipation study is not required and a request for waiver by the registrant is generally granted.

Subdivision N specifically recommends that (1) field dissipation studies should be conducted at two different sites representative of the pesticide use patterns. For restricted use patterns where only one typical area is involved, data from two similar sites is required; (2) the selected site should be domestic (native) soil of the United States and the location(s) should be where the product is intended to be used. In this case, (cotton use) the sites should be the cotton belt of the U.S.A.).

- 10. DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TEST OR STUDIES: N/A.
- 11. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER: Not available. N/A
- 12. CBI APPENDIX: N/A.

	2 IDENTIFYING NUMBER	8. ACTION (	ODE	4	CESSION N	MASER :	TOE	E COMPLET	EDBY PM
1	352456	150		1	63098	The same		ID NUMBER	13
17.4			<b>374</b>				6. REFER	ENCE NUM	IER .
	k, em likeration per						Y DATE	7/20/	PAI 87
	<b>建筑地域。</b>			NO PAR			# STATU	TRRY DOE!	MTE
			W April		e ever	o de de	P.PRODU	M. Her	40
(A)							10. PM TE	AM NUMBEI	
	HECK IF APPLICABLE!	O.	nor Use			NH	3-1-1-1	COMPLETE	
7.	Substitute Chemical		t of IPM				12. PRIOR	TY NUMBE	87 R
1	3 Sessonal Concern			Lines Than 4	Hours		13. PBOJE	CTED RETU	BY DATE
	NSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER		<del>-</del>	F. INSTRU	CTIONS	1	19-0	1 4	-/-
	HED I Total Assessment S(o)(5)  Incremental Risk Assessment S(c)(7) and/or E.L. Johnson	°c. □ o. □	BFSD TSS/RD	Regis	hat !	has n	ference	x all	relef
	memo of May 12, 1977. SPRO 1Send Copy of Form to SPRO P	<b>€.</b> □	Other	ala	<u> </u>	Regger	of	then (	tali
	Themical Undergoing Active RPAR Review			Man	a neg	vest	V. ta	<b>1</b> 15.	
	Chemical Undergoing Active Registration Standards Revie		1.3		Roles	<u>. 75</u>	mark	man	<b>X</b> (
16. F	ELATED ACTIONS						و المسلم		
7.9	(c)(1)(D)					**			
Ī	Use Any or All Available Information Use Only the Attached Data for Formula Available Information on the Technical o	Use Only Attion and Any or	tached Data Ali	18. REVIEW		D EEB			Dat
10	TYPE OF REVIEW				NUMBER O	EFB FACTIONS	U		1 arso
	TOXICOLOGY	Registration	Petition	EUP	SLN 4	Sec. 18	inert	MNR. USE	Other
	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS								
	RESIDUE CHEMISTRY				<u> </u>				
X	ENVIRONMENTAL DATE					<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			waner.
	CHEMISTRY								reguest
1.7	CHEMISTRY								
	PRECAUTIONARY LABELING								
ינ	The second secon			TO THE STATE OF					
	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	<b>建</b>	2.2						494 V